



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/838,852	04/20/2001	Richard J. Allen	GB 000051	1431	
24737 75	90 04/15/2004	04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			MCCARTNEY, LINZY T		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2671	12	

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expiresmonths from the na railing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expiresmonths from the na railing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expiresmonths from the nailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expiresmonths from the nailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no exempt, however, with the subtract period for reply owns itself than 50 MOTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no exempt, however, with the subtract period for reply owns itself than 50 MOTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no exempt filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the contrast of the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no expenditure of the substance of the final rejection, even if strong find, may reduce any extension the expenditure of the substance of the final rejection, even if strong find, may reduce any extension the expenditure of the substance of the final rejection, even if strong find, may reduce any extension the expenditure of the substance of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejectio	Advisory Action	09/838,852	ALLEN, RICHARD J.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Thereffore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expires	Ť	Examiner	Art Unit			
THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 97 CPR 1.13 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCCE) in complication in more properties. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCCE) in complication with the period for reply expires		Linzy McCartney	2671			
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either (1) a timely filed mendment with places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or by] The period for reply expires (1) the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. ONLY OFTICKEN THIS BOX WHENT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OFTIFENIAL REJECTION. See MPEP Content of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee where been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of determining the final office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection. even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.132(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 38 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise he issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or Applicant's reply has overc	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the studious period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in			
b) \(\bigcirc The period for reply expires cn: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the stutiony period for reply expire later than \$IXM MONTHS from the malling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee that be been filed in the date for purpose and the under the filed of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension fee the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension fee the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension fee the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension fee the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension fee the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension fee the malling date of the final office action, city as extension fee the final rejection.	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply pricingually set in the filinal Office actor; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any certain adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\text{ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \(\text{ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (c) \(\text{ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);} (d) \(\text{ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\text{ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \(\text{ See Continuation Sheet} \) 3. \(\text{ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. \(\text{ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)} \) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. \(\text{ The a} \) affidavit, b) \(\text{ extension} \) explication in condition for allowance because: 6. \(The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. \(\text{ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \(\text{ will he rejected is provided below or appended	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5 The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
(a) \[\text{ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \[\text{ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);} (c) \[they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \[\text{ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. \[\] Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. \[\] Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. \[\] The a) \[\] affidavit, b) \[\] exhibit, or c) \[\] request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. \[\] The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. \[\] For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \[\] will not be entered or b) \[\] will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) \[\] approved or b) \[\] disapproved by the Examiner. 9. \[\] Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	• ••••					
(b)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
application in condition for allowance because: 6.		be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			sidered but does NOT place the			
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) objected to:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) rejected:					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
10. Other: MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
		SUPERVISO	ry patent examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

09/838,852

•Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment adds the limitation of "...by an amount equal to k*dx/w, where k is a constant for each of the one or more further display images." which requires further search and consideration.